



SAN BENITO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Margie Barrios
District No. 1
Chair

Anthony Botelho
District No. 2

Robert Rivas
District No. 3
Vice-Chair

Jerry Muenzer
District No. 4

Jaime De La Cruz
District No. 5

County Administration Building – Board of Supervisors Chambers, 481 Fourth Street, Hollister, California

REGULAR MEETING MARCH 3, 2015 ACTION MINUTES

The Board of Supervisors of San Benito County met in the Board Chambers on the above date in *regular session*. Supervisors Barrios, Rivas, De La Cruz, Botelho and Muenzer were present. Also present were County Administrative Officer Ray Espinosa, County Counsel Matt Granger and Clerk of the Board Denise Thome. Chair Barrios presided.

9:00 a.m. CALL TO ORDER:

- a) Supervisor De La Cruz led the Pledge of Allegiance.
- b) Upon motion duly made, seconded and carried, acknowledged Certificate of Posting.
- c) **PRESENTATIONS & RECOGNITIONS:**

HUMAN RESOURCES:

Present Certificate of Recognition to Martha Vasquez, Office Assistant III, Health and Human Services/Migrant Camp for over 35 years of service upon retirement.

*Upon motion duly made by Chair Barrios and seconded by Supervisor De La Cruz, **approved** the Certificate of Recognition to Martha Vasquez. (The motion passed 5-0)*

Supervisor De La Cruz presented the award to Ms. Vasquez and thanked her for all her hard work and service to the county and migrant families.

Ms. Vasquez accepted the plaque and thanked the Board. *File #630*

- d) **Public Comment:**

Mitch Matthews, member of the San Benito County Long Term Care Commission, asked that they be a part of the regular agenda item quarterly. He spoke of what issues they deal with and how they serve. They wanted to bring the issues to the Board quarterly.

Chair Barrios directed Mr. Matthews to give his contact information to the Clerk of the Board and in turn the CAO and Chair would discuss the matter.

Elias Barocio, Migrant Center Manager, spoke about how Martha Vasquez has always gone above and beyond her job description for over 35 years. He

added that she doesn't get enough credit and the migrants love her. He expressed how much she meant to the migrant population.

Rosario Riesgo asked about extending her lease 2 years at the Southside Mobile Home Park. She stated that her landlord was Sonny Flores who gave her the eviction notice. She proceeded to read the letter she received in which she understood that they would be relocated into town. She added that now with the 60 day notice they won't relocate her and they said their hands were tied and it was up to the county. She stated that was why she was there. She indicated that she was a single mother of two and was due to vacate April 30.

Chair Barrios directed Ms. Riesgo to County Counsel to discuss the contract options.

County Counsel Granger thought the issue was out there and asked Ms. Riesgo to contact him after the meeting and he would sit down with her.

Supervisor Botelho felt they needed to be more familiar with the options.

Supervisor De La Cruz felt it was not fair to notice 60 days before.

Chair Barrios reminded that they were under public comment and the matter was referred to County Counsel.

CAO Espinosa stated that he would like to be a part of the conversation as well.

Mr. Granger stated that they would internally investigate and report back.

Marvin Jones spoke of the quarter million dollars that the county was hit up for by the Los Valientes group. He asked if they would be reporting at a future meeting on that.

Chair Barrios stated that it was a closed session item.

Mr. Granger stated that they were not in closed session on that item that day. He added that Mr. Jones's statement of being hit up for a quarter million was not accurate. He added that the judge's decision is final.

e) **Department Head Announcements:**

CAO Espinosa spoke of a correction that was a typo on the HHSA AIT for item #3. The AIT reads 7 stations and it should be 11 stations.

f) **Board Announcements:**

Supervisor De La Cruz thanked Jim Rydingsword, HHSA Director, for bringing a culture of togetherness and friendliness to the office. He indicated that is what he has heard from the employees. He challenged fellow board members to join him in the Walk a Mile in Her Shoes Walk on April 11, 2015 at 11:00 a.m. at San Benito and Fourth Streets.

Supervisor Rivas stated that there would be a forum on Wednesday at 7:00 p.m. at the San Benito High School Auditorium regarding the potential closure of Nash Road between West and Monterey Streets.

Supervisor Botelho reported that he was a participant in the teleconference along with the COG Executive Director with the Central Coast Coalition in Sacramento. He indicated that the problem with roads is the lack of funding. He added that we were not alone and that it was not just a local issue but also a state issue. He stated that the counties of Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz and San Benito would be going to Sacramento to lobby the Department of Transportation for solutions. He noted that he was excited for the opportunity to represent San Benito County to lobby at Sacramento. He added that everyone wants the roads fixed but no one wants to pay for it.

Chair Barrios thanked Supervisor De La Cruz for participating in the Walk a Mile in Her Shoes Walk last year and challenged fellow supervisors to this men's march against violence. She reported on a conference call with representatives from Assemblyman Alejo's, Senator Cannella's and Congressman Farr's offices and a representative from Panoche Solar Farm who was asking for support in approval with the Fish and Wildlife agencies. She believed that the environmentalists were trying to hold it up because the credits coming to the developer were due to expire. She added that it meant a lot to San Benito County in the way of jobs, etc. She explained that they would be going there March 12, 2015 to ask for support. She asked for a consensus to represent the board there and not just herself.

Supervisor Rivas stated that he supported it but felt Chair Barrios's comment was vague as he was an environmentalist and he was not blocking it.

Chair Barrios indicated that was not her intent and that she was an environmentalist also.

Supervisor De La Cruz supported Supervisor Rivas on that concept. He offered Chair Barrios his support and stated that he could be there as well.

Supervisor Botelho stated that Chair Barrios had his support.

CONSENT AGENDA:

Chair Barrios noted that item #3 had the typo correction on the AIT from 7 stations to 11 stations.

Clerk of the Board Thome stated that a member of the public had requested that item 6 be pulled.

*Upon motion duly made by Supervisor De La Cruz and seconded by Supervisor Rivas, **approved** Consent Agenda items with the exception of item 6 which was pulled for discussion. (the motion passed 5-0)*

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT – A. Yamamoto:

- 1) **Approved** appointment of Sherry Holmquist as a consumer member, residing in District 4, to the Behavioral Health Board effective March 3, 2015 through March 3, 2018. *File #810*

CLERK OF THE BOARD – D. Thome:

- 2) **Approved** the action minutes of the January 20, 2015 regular meeting.

HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY – J. Rydingsword:

- 3) **Approved** agreement with California Statewide Automated Welfare System (SAWS) Consortium IV (C-IV) Joint Powers of Authority for the Purchase of C-IV workstations, Scanners and Printers, for the period of FY 2014/2015 to FY 2019/2020 with the correction of 7 stations to 11 stations. *File #130*

SHERIFF'S OFFICE – D. Thompson:

- 4) **Adopted Resolution No. 2015-11 authorizing** the Sheriff to submit a Grant Application under the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program. *File #110*

SAN BENITO COUNTY LOCAL PLANNING COUNCIL – K. Bobsin:

- 5) The Childcare Local Planning Council recommends that the Board review Certification of Statement regarding composition of membership and **authorized** the chair to sign. *File #119*

TREASURER/TAX COLLECTOR/PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR – M. L. Andrade:

- 6) **Approved a budget augmentation in the amount of \$5,600, and allow the Treasurer's Office the requested use of overtime to accomplish the goals detailed on the AIT. (4/5 vote)**

Chair Barrios called for public comment

Marvin Jones expressed interest in the transmittal that stated they were asking for \$5,000 in overtime and \$600 in pencils and paper clips to overcome the learning challenges. He stated that they used to call that "in over your heads". He surmised that they were asking for \$5,600 to learn 21st century technology. He referred to the previous week and the spending of money by the Grand Jury.

CAO Espinosa stated that there were issues with posting revenues and it has caused some issue with documentation and auditing. He indicated that they planned to have external staff outside the Treasurer Tax Collector's Office to assist them. He added that estimates were not to exceed and he felt it would be under that figure.

Chair Barrios explained that it will benefit other departments and other departments, besides the Treasurer's Office, will be there to learn.

Mr. Espinosa added that currently they enter information manually and everything will be computerized after. He noted that equipment was not just paper and pencils, adding that it was a huge move forward for the county.

Supervisor Botelho supported the department heads and staff on this request. He commented that it was a huge undertaking and a great step in efficiency. He explained that when you change systems there is a learning curve involved and this wasn't out of line. He stated that it was a unique circumstance and he supported it.

*Upon motion duly made by Supervisor De La Cruz and seconded by Supervisor Rivas **approved** a budget augmentation in the amount of \$5,600, and **allowed** the Treasurer's Office the requested use of overtime to accomplish the goals detailed on the AIT. (the motion passed 5-0) File #685.2*

REGULAR AGENDA:

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS – M. Barrios:

- 7) **Receive a report on the CSAC Board of Directors meeting held on Thursday, February 19, 2015.**

Chair Barrios attended the February 19, 2015 CSAC Board of Directors meeting by phone. She reported the following highlights.

- Governor Brown representative announced a balanced budget for 2015/16 with any unexpected money to go to K-12 education, not to counties.
- Monies for county fairs.
- Considering payments for open space which is different than the Williamson Act and would be good news for San Benito County.
- Transportation was the biggest item at the meeting. Talk of a lack of revenues. Noting that the state gas tax has not increased since 1994 and

that electric and hybrid cars don't pay to play. She stated there were 5 options they would be exploring:

1. Increase base gas tax.
2. Increase the vehicle license fee.
3. Increase the vehicle registration fee.
4. Redirect weight fees back to transportation.
5. Reduce voter threshold for local tax measures.

Chair Barrios indicated that CSAC would be presenting these options to the state. She noted that the CSAC Advocacy group was looking to increase the realignment funds. She spoke of the Affordable Care Act implementation, Greenhouse Gas reduction, water bond, court security funding, mentally ill offender crime, IHSS and property tax allocation issues.

Supervisor Botelho stated that CSAC does a great job. He spoke of SB 1077 and asked if they were looking into a road charge which would include electric cars.

Chair Barrios replied affirmatively and noted that they were looking to follow the Oregon model but not until 2017. She added that they cannot figure out how to monitor and that was the challenge.

Chair Barrios called for public comment.

Marty Richman stated that he was retired and did not commute, so no matter what they do it would not be much out of his pocket. He spoke of the California gas taxes based on a percentage and Cal Trans work projects with one guy holding a flag and 5 guys holding flags or shovels. *File #156*

COUNTY ADMINISTRATION OFFICE – R. Espinosa:

- 8) **Discuss possible transfer of funds for FY 14/15; read title of ordinance for the record; “An Ordinance of the County of San Benito Amending Article 1 (“In General”) of Chapter 3.01 (“Administration Generally”) of Title 3 of the San Benito County Code to Specify Compensation Paid to Grand Jurors in San Benito County; continue the matter to March 17, 2015 for adoption; and approve Budget Augmentation Transfer from General Fund Contingency in the amount of \$5,406.00, or other amount as determined by the Board to the Grand Jury’s 14-15 Budget. (4/5 vote)**

CAO Espinosa gave a staff report noting that \$5,500 is the recommendation the ad hoc committee came up with. He indicated that the ad hoc committee included Chair Barrios and Supervisor De La Cruz.

Supervisor De La Cruz reported that it started off hostile at first and then at the middle there was a mutual understanding. He noted that there was a difference of opinion on how to handle the budget resolution which didn't happen as they still wanted \$9,000. He commented that there has to be some type of budget control policy. He felt that \$5,500 was a fair amount to complete this year.

Chair Barrios thanked the Grand Jury and noted that she had learned a lot. She explained that there were no clear procedures for the Grand Jury or any formal passing of the baton, which may be a reason why there was no control. She added that she was not faulting them as they needed a plan. She surmised that without clear process and procedures there would be no control of budget.

Supervisor Botelho indicated that it was somewhat disappointing knowing the mistakes made with this year's Grand Jury. He felt they would be throwing money at a problem, not knowing how it will be spent and reformed. He noted that it had never been a problem before and the problem this year was on a

grand scale. He asked how did we get here. He expressed concern with mileage on the resolution and stated that he would rather pay more on the stipend and not have mileage.

Supervisor De La Cruz hoped that members of the public would address Supervisor Botelho's concerns. He indicated that he took it as an opportunity not to scrutinize them but as an issue in the budget. He stated that they got the signal from the ad hoc committee that they would not allow this to happen. He added that during the budget process there would be a guideline in place so as not to happen again. He felt some accomplishment had been made. He also felt they had an obligation to the taxpayers to have policy control in place.

Supervisor Rivas stated that it was untrue that the board called for an investigation adding that he had jokingly said so to lighten the mood. He stated that there was a lack of structure and control regarding the fiscal policy of the Grand Jury. He noted that the website for the Monterey County Grand Jury spelled everything out clearly as Santa Clara County Grand Jury policy was online as well. He referred to Penal Code Section 890 stating the bare minimum paid for only regular meetings and mileage, noting that it was up to the board. He stated that the Grand Jury was largely a voluntary opportunity to serve the county and the community. He indicated that they needed to work to fix the situation and tighten the structure.

Supervisor Muenzer felt it was an important issue and they needed to understand what the Grand Jury wants to accomplish.

Chair Barrios stated that she would allow the Grand Jury Foreman 5 ½ minutes speaking time and called for public comment.

Bob Marden, Grand Jury Foreman, gave the what, why and how of the Grand Jury and also the composition. He explained that they were the watchdogs of San Benito County on behalf of the citizens of the county. He stated that they strived to make the county better. He indicated that compensation was provided for under the state mandate and they were entitled to. He added that much work is done on their own. He asked if the amount was \$5,406 or \$5,500. He added that it was not new to the Board as they had spoken at the February 17, 2015 Board meeting. He indicated that Supervisor De La Cruz told him that if he spoke to the CAO it would be as if he was talking to all of the Board. He reported that they told the CAO that the budget was not workable and had talked to him in August and October. He felt the comments stating that they were frivolous were not fair. He felt the comments at the February 17th meeting were not proper and the Grand Jury's comments weren't either. He stated that they proposed \$12,821 and had adjusted to \$9,089 with the Board suggested augmentation of \$5,500. He spoke of printing costs and they were down to \$3,000 to complete 9 reports, which couldn't and wouldn't be done. He added that they do citizen complaints and they had eight last year. He stated that the original budget was \$19,500 and they had perhaps one of the lowest Grand Jury budgets in California. He indicated that the ordinance was in 1993 and was not law but was interpretation. He indicated that all pay mileage and a few pay for all meetings.

Supervisor Muenzer asked what procedures the Grand Jury has in place for monitoring the budget throughout the year.

Mr. Marden stated that it was not as good as it could be. He explained that when they went to the CAO stating that it was an unworkable budget and at that time they didn't know the scope of the investigations.

Supervisor Botelho asked what changes they would make to save money if they were granted the budget augmentation.

Mr. Marden stated that he went through the committees and asked how many meetings they would actually need and reduce the meetings to fit within the budget. He stated that it couldn't be done. He noted that the new Grand Jury can't accept the interviews of the previous year's Grand Jury for investigations and they would have to re-interview. He added that they could accept their documents. Mr. Marden stated that they need a minimum of two people to do an interview. He explained how their committees were created and most of their people were on only two committees.

Supervisor Rivas expressed that he understood the reimbursement of general and committee meetings but questioned those for observing meetings. He stated that Grand Jury members were attending Board of Supervisors meetings and getting paid. He asked if observing meetings fell under assignments from committees.

Mr. Marden stated that it could be if there was an agenda item one of the committees is concerned with, they attend. He stated that for pure observance, no and if they submitted for payment that was incorrect.

Supervisor Muenzer asked if at least two people were in attendance at an interview.

Mr. Marden replied that he received reimbursement for meetings by himself with the Judge or CAO.

Supervisor Muenzer asked the basis for that.

Mr. Marden stated that it was the same as a meeting and that he was on Grand Jury business.

Supervisor Muenzer asked if it was a stipend for reimbursement.

Mr. Marden stated that it was not a stipend but was a matter of Grand Jury business.

Chair Barrios noted that there were at least 28 instances where a member attended by themselves.

Mr. Marden indicated that it was stated on the attendance sheet as to who the committee was and the purpose.

Chair Barrios stated that it was not clear and was not part of a procedure and appeared that anyone met at anytime and one person.

Mr. Marden declared that was not true and only one person could do that and it was him.

Chair Barrios noted that there was much discrepancy.

Mr. Marden stated that most of the money was mileage.

Chair Barrios replied that those were meetings that she was referring to.

Ann Ross, Grand Jury member, stated that she joined the Grand Jury that year and they needed to change their mindset about the Grand Jury. She felt they were not understood by the Board and that they were an asset with the same goal and an obligation to the taxpayers. She noted that their investigations were confidential and they couldn't do much with two people on anything. She stated that two people listening was not the perspective they needed and five people was much better. She commented that the foreperson was a great manager. She added that he had never mismanaged and was not responsible. She stated that all the investigations were really important and they were important to the board and they wanted to work with them and for them. She mentioned following the money. She indicated that if the board let them do their

job and finish up for the year, the board would be happy. She felt that nickel and diming their investigations was not the way to go.

Rohit Sharma, Grand Jury member, thanked the past, present and future members of the Grand Jury. He also thanked Supervisors De La Cruz and Barrios for heading up the ad hoc committee. He indicated that the comments at the last board meeting were heard loud and clear and the board's arguments were valid. He stated that the comments about the Grand Jury being wasteful and irresponsible were not of merit. He stated that the board makes difficult decisions every day and this was not one of them. He felt the quality and number of investigations would suffer and that it was common sense.

Chair Barrios noted that the Grand Jury requested money one month after the budget passed. She asked if anyone had come in before. She added that they could be part of the budget process if they liked and that August was too late to ask for augmentation. She asked if they knew in August how many investigations they would have.

Mr. Sharma replied no and that they also could not anticipate citizen complaints. He explained that in August they realized there may be a problem and the County Administrator said not to worry about it, we'll figure it out.

Supervisor Muenzer asked if they served a calendar year or a fiscal year.

Mr. Sharma replied fiscal year, July 1st through June 30th.

Supervisor Muenzer asked about members carrying over.

Mr. Sharma answered that they could only serve two years consecutively.

Chair Barrios commented that it was like a revolving door with no institutional memory. She added that they had benefitted from their input and investigation and this was about the budget. She stated that if they said they would have 100 cases that would be irresponsible and they had to limit themselves to what they could do realistically with the dollars they had. She indicated that it was not an issue with the previous Grand Jury and the Grand Jury was valued, it was about the budget.

Mr. Sharma indicated that they set the budget for 19 members and they were down to 13.

Mr. Marden stated that he was not given the budget and that he had looked it up on the website. He added that he thought it was \$25,000 and at the first appointment he got with the CAO was August 6th and that was when he found out \$5,821 went to administrative costs and \$19,500 to the Grand Jury. He noted that he had worked on a proposed budget for 2015/16.

Marty Richman, Hollister resident, stated that there were three expense pockets; items they have to look at by law, things they're going to select to keep the system honest, which couldn't be unlimited and complaints from citizens which were difficult to budget. He felt the initial budget should cover what they have to do by law and additional money to cover other things. He stated that part way into the process the Grand Jury Foreman needs to come to the Board of Supervisors and if there are bigger fish to fry they have to augment the budget. He felt it was better to get it investigated rather than have it blow up in their face.

Supervisor Muenzer asked when the Grand Jury gets a citizen complaint if they have to handle them all.

Mr. Marden stated that was a very good question. He explained that there was a carryover from last year generated by a citizen complaint. He stated that they looked at it last year but did not have enough time to investigate and it became this year's responsibility and much time had been spent on it.

Supervisor Muenzer stated that his question was if they have to investigate every citizen complaint.

Mr. Marden replied no.

Supervisor Muenzer asked if they could pick and choose.

Mr. Marden replied that was correct.

Debbie Thul, Grand Jury member, indicated that she was a first year member and did not understand how any of the board members could vote on anything when they didn't know how the Grand Jury works. She added that if there were not enough members the judge would make appointments. She stated that she was very insulted by some of the comments about mismanagement of money. She stated that she was not a part of that and they were there to help the citizens of this county.

There being no further speakers the Chair closed public comment.

Supervisor Rivas thanked all of the speakers. He noted that the board understood the Grand Jury and their importance. He added that the Grand Jury was vital and important and they valued what they did and unfortunately they had reached a boiling point. He indicated that the Grand Jury was there to bring government closer to the citizens and make it transparent. He added that the board was hamstrung because of the budget. He apologized if his comments made people angry.

Supervisor Botelho echoed Supervisor Rivas and agreed with all he said. He stated that they appreciated their work and they were talking about a budgetary issue. He stated that it was impossible to give them \$9,000. He indicated that the board wanted to work with the Grand Jury and it was good to understand both sides of the perspective. He added that he was leaning towards supporting the ordinance for the framework on how to go forward.

Supervisor Muenzer understood that the ordinance did not lock them in.

CAO Espinosa explained that they would go through the process and could make a change later.

Supervisor Muenzer indicated that he had considered serving on the Grand Jury but when he found out how much time it took, he didn't do it. He expressed that they were appreciated and respected for serving. He noted that they held the purse strings of the sheriff but could not dictate. He hoped they never had this discussion again.

Supervisor De La Cruz noted that during the budget process they could bring it back for discussion. He indicated that he would support the resolution and \$5,500 augmentation. He mentioned a Grand Jury member's comment that the board were not the brightest bunch of people and had to be spoon fed common sense.

Chair Barrios stated that she would support and basically they would be giving back the cost plan amount of \$5,821. She thanked the Grand Jury. She stated that they were appreciated and were doing fabulous work and spending countless hours.

Supervisor Muenzer asked if approved, would \$5,500 go to the Grand Jury.

CAO Espinosa stated that there would be an increase to the budget of \$5,500 for 2014/15.

Supervisor Muenzer expressed that he would like to make them whole.

Mr. Espinosa stated that they would have to do \$5,821 to do so to total the \$25,321.

Assistant County Counsel Barbara Thompson read the title of the ordinance.

Upon motion duly made by Supervisor Botelho and seconded by Supervisor De La Cruz, read the title of the ordinance, **accepted** introduction and **waived** further reading of the ordinance and **continued** to March 17, 2015 for adoption and **approved** budget augmentation to 2014/15 in the amount of \$5,500. (The motion passed 5-0) *File #605*

Upon motion duly made by Supervisor Muenzer and seconded by Supervisor Botelho, continued the regular meeting to after the special meeting.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT – B. Turner:

9) **Receive General Plan Update.**

Interim Planning Director Byron Turner gave a staff report noting that outside counsel had delivered on the timeframe. He expected to be back in two weeks after reviewing the comments. He added that at that time they would give a timeline for completion.

County Counsel Matt Granger thanked Nadia Costa and associates for reviewing a 400 page document. He noted that they accomplished it by March 2nd as requested. He explained that comments were forwarded to EMC to incorporate into the document. He stated that it should go forward as scheduled with legal review on time and within budget.

Supervisor Botelho asked if they were on target.

Mr. Granger stated that by March 17th EMC should tell them the document is ready to go out for EIR review. *File #790*

Chair Barrios stated that they would report out on closed session after the regular agenda, then break for lunch.

CLOSED SESSION:

The board adjourned into closed session at 11:13 a.m. and reconvened into regular session at 12:03 p.m. The board then adjourned to lunch at 12:05 p.m. and reconvened into the special meeting at 1:15 p.m.

10) **County Employee Appointment**

Title: Agricultural Commissioner/Sealer of Weights and Measures

Authority: California Government Codes 54957

No reportable action. File #235.6

11) **County Employee Appointment**

Title: Assistant County Administrative Officer

Authority: California Government Codes 54957

No reportable action. File #235.6

12) **Conference with Real Property Negotiator:**

Property: 5381 Fairview Road (APN 017-130-004), Hollister, California

Agency Negotiator(s): Joe Horwedel, Interim Public Works Director; Arman Nazemi, Assistant Public Works Director; James A. Rydingsword, Director of Health & Human Services; Ray Espinosa, CAO; Matthew W. Granger, County Counsel; Barbara Thompson, Assistant County Counsel; Shirley Murphy, Deputy County Counsel; Sara Fontanos, Management Analyst.

Negotiating Parties: Larry Drury, on behalf of Go Kids

Under Negotiation: Price and terms of payment

Authority: California Government Code Section 54956.8

Larry Drury of Go Kids stated that it was an emotional issue for Go Kids and they had operated it for 30 years. He expressed appreciation for CAO Espinosa's time. He notified the board that they were looking at an alternative site to move the child care to, back to the site at HHSA. He added that they would need to put a portable unit in and didn't know how long it would take. He asked that they give them time so there would be no disruption to child care. He explained that they had a facility and owned the property and they just needed to convert it back which was a simple process.

Marty Richman, Hollister resident, stated that he would like to see them adopt a general rule for non-profits and charities. He explained that he would like to see them file a 990 with the Board and put it on the website. He thought it would be a good public service as it had much information. He added that he was not there to grind an axe but it was for transparency sake.

No reportable action. File #235.6

* * * * *

The vote of each member of the Board of Supervisors upon each matter at the foregoing meeting, unless otherwise stated, was as follows:

AYES: SUPERVISORS: Barrios, Rivas, De La Cruz, Botelho, Muenzer
NOES: SUPERVISORS: None
ABSENT: SUPERVISORS: None

There being no further business the Board adjourned at 12:05 p.m. to March 17, 2015 at 9:00 a.m.

MARGIE BARRIOS, CHAIR
San Benito County Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:
Denise R. Thome, Clerk of the Board